CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Project Name: Kelly Road Easement	Proposed Implementation Date: Summer 2014
Proponent: Petroleum County	
Type and Purpose of Action: Minor road realignment	
Location: SW4SW4, Sec 28, Twp 13N, Rge 27E.	County: Petroleum

	PROJECT DEVELOPMENT	
1.	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED: Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.	Petroleum County Commission State land lessee
2.	OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:	Petroleum County Commission Resolution 2013-13
3.	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:	Historic Right of Way on existing route

	IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
4.	GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE: Are fragile, compactible or unstable soils present? Are there unusual geological features? Are there special reclamation considerations?	[N]
5.	WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION: Are important surface or groundwater resources present? Is there potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality?	[N]

	IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
6.	AIR QUALITY: Will pollutants or particulate be produced? Is the project influenced by air quality regulations or zones (Class I airshed)?	[N]
7.	VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY: Will vegetative communities be permanently altered? Are any rare plants or cover types present?	[N] Road relocation will permanently convert one acre of crested wheat grass tame pasture to driving surface.
8.	TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS: Is there substantial use of the area by important wildlife, birds or fish?	[N]
9.	UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are any federally listed threatened or endangered species or identified habitat present? Any wetlands? Sensitive Species or Species of special concern?	[N] Outside core and general sage grouse habitat.
10.	HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES: Are any historical, archeological, or paleontological resources present?	[N] This parcel has been previously disturbed and is planted to non native vegetation.
11.	AESTHETICS: Is the project on a prominent topographical feature? Will it be visible from populated or scenic areas? Will there be excessive noise or light?	[Y] The purpose of this road relocation is move the road further from an existing ranch headquarters for safety and aesthetic reasons.
12.	DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY: Will the project use resources that are limited in the area? Are there other activities nearby that will affect the project?	[N]
13.	OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA: Are there other studies, plans or projects on this tract?	[N]

	III. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION		
Name and Parks	14.	HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY: Will this	[N] Safety will be improved by moving the county

	III. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION		
	project add to health and safety risk in the area?	road away from home and ranch buildings.	
15.	INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION: Will the project add to or alter these activities?	[N]	
16.	QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT: Will the project create, move or eliminate jobs? If so, estimated number.	[N]	
17.	LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES: Will the project create or eliminate tax revenue?	[N]	
18.	DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES: Will substantial traffic be added to existing roads? Will other services (fire protection, police, schools, etc.) be needed?	[N]	
19.	LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS: Are there State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, etc., zoning or management plans in effect?	[N]	
20.	ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES: Are wilderness or recreational areas nearby or accessed through this tract? Is there recreational potential within the tract?	[N]	
21.	DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING: Will the project add to the population and require additional housing?	[N]	
22.	SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES: Is some disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities possible?	[N]	
23.	CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY: Will the action cause a shift in some unique quality of the area?	[N]	
24.	OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND	[N]	

III. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION ECONOMICAL CIRCUMSTANCES:

EA Checklist Prepared By:

BARNY D. SMITH, Lewistown Unit Manager, Northeastern Land Office

Signature

Date: April 21, 2014

IV. FINDING		
25.	ALTERNATIVE SELECTED:	Action
26.	SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS:	No significant impact
27. Need for Further Environmental Analysis:		
[] EIS [] More Detailed EA [X] No Further Analysis		

EA Checklist Approved by:

CLIVE ROONEY, Area Manager, Northeastern Land Office

Signature

Date: April 21, 2014